1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE		
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	
3			
4		19 - 10:06 a.m.	
5	Concord, New	Hampshire NHPUC 26MAR'19449:49	
6			
7	RE:	DW 19-011 HAMPSTEAD AREA WATER COMPANY, INC.: Petition to Expand Franchise in the Town of Salem.	
8			
9		(Prehearing conference)	
10			
11	PRESENT:	Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding	
12		Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey Commissioner Michael S. Giaimo	
13		Sandy Deno, Clerk	
14			
15	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Hampstead Area Water Company: Anthony Augeri, Esq.	
16		Robert C. Levine, Esq.	
17		Reptg. PUC Staff:	
18		Christopher Tuomala, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Assistant Director/	
19		Gas & Water Division Robyn Descoteau, Gas & Water Division	
20		Anthony Leone, Gas & Water Division	
21			
22			
23	Court Repo	rter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	
24			



1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY:	
5	Mr. Augeri	4
6	Mr. Tuomala	7
7		
8	QUESTIONS BY:	
9	Cmsr. Bailey	8
10	Chairman Honigberg	10
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sorry for the scheduling confusion. We are here this morning in Docket DW 19-011, which is a Petition by Hampstead Area Water Company to expand its franchise to the Town of Salem to the Tisdale Trailer Park, which has been the subject of other proceedings.

Before we get any further -- before we go any further, let's take appearances.

MR. AUGERI: Thank you. Attorney
Tony Augeri, on behalf of the Hampstead Area
Water Company. With me today is Attorney Bob
Levine; John Sullivan, the Controller for the
Company; and Charlie Lanza, who is the General
Manager of the Company.

MR. TUOMALA: Good morning,

Commissioners. Christopher Tuomala, for the

Staff of the Public Utilities Commission. To

my left I have Jayson Laflamme, the Assistant

Director of the Gas and Water Division here at

the PUC; to his left, Robyn Descoteau, a

Utility Analyst with the Gas and Water

Division; and to her left, Anthony Leone,

```
1
         another Utility Analyst with the Gas and Water
 2
         Division.
 3
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
                                                      The
         purpose of the prehearing conference generally
 4
         is to hear the parties' positions
 5
 6
         preliminarily.
 7
                   Are there any matters we need to take
         up before we do that? Mr. Tuomala?
 8
 9
                   MR. TUOMALA: No, Mr. Chairman.
10
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Augeri?
11
                   MR. AUGERI: No, there is not.
12
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
13
         Mr. Augeri, why don't you start us off.
14
                   MR. AUGERI: Thank you very much.
15
         HAWC is presently franchised in most areas of
16
         Hampstead and Atkinson, and has satellite
17
         systems as well in Rockingham and Strafford
18
         Counties. We've been granted a consolidated
19
         rate, which would be applied to this franchise
20
         if it were granted.
21
                    The New Hampshire Department of
22
         Environmental Services previously requested
23
         HAWC provide emergency water service within the
24
         Town of Salem, which abuts one of our franchise
```

areas, the Company's Lancaster Farm system, to 58 homes in a Tisdale Trailer Park. And that was due to E-coli contamination that was discovered in their well water.

Upon petition to this Commission,

HAWC was granted such emergency leave, that is

under Docket DW 128 [18-128?]. After attempts

to locate an alternative water source by the

owner failed, the owner contacted HAWC

requesting that we provide a permanent supply

of water.

HAWC will provide these water services to the homes, extending the services from the adjacent Lancaster Farm franchise.

The owner has granted us access in order to provide this water by way of an easement that they are going to -- that they are paying the full cost for that. This is the same access that was utilized under the Docket DW 18-128 for the emergency service, and a special order is currently in place through April 30th, 2019, to provide water on an emergency basis. This is the Petition to make that permanent.

 $\{DW 19-011\}$ [Prehearing conference] $\{03-12-19\}$

We have notified the Town, the

Company has, of Salem of the franchise request.

They have been provided a letter to be sent to the Commission, to you.

extension to a meter pit and also a meter system. HAWC will also own meters to be installed at each of the 58 residences, but this is solely to monitor water loss. The owner is going to retain ownership of the distribution system itself to the residences.

HAWC presently, the consolidated system, is a systemwide rate with a base rate of \$100 monthly for a 2-inch meter, and a consumption rate of \$6.11 per 100 cubic feet.

HAWC looks forward to working with the PUC Staff to resolve any -- to resolve any remaining issues. And given this matter was already provided on an emergency basis, of which was extended once, we don't anticipate many.

One of the issues that has come up, given the emergency docket and the present docket for a permanency, I spoke with Attorney Tuomala about resolving that, so we wouldn't

```
1
         have to keep coming before your Commission.
         And we've agreed, if the Commission would so
 2
 3
         entertain, to make an oral motion to extend
         that special order under 18-128, to coincide
 4
 5
         with the decision of this current docket, DW
         19-011, for efficiency, and so that we could
 6
 7
         resolve this.
                    Thank you very much.
 8
9
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay.
10
         Mr. Tuomala, why don't you address generally
11
         your comments, and also the nature of the oral
12
         motion. I guess I'm interested in whether the
13
         motion is to extend to a date certain or just
14
         to say "it's extended while the current matter,
15
         19-011, is pending".
16
                   MR. TUOMALA: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
17
         Chairman.
18
                   Staff has reviewed the filing
19
         thoroughly, and conducted a round of discovery
         already. Staff determined that there are a few
20
         issues that we'd like to discuss with the
21
22
         Company in the tech session to follow this
23
         hearing.
24
                   Specifically, we're going to be
```

```
1
         examining the terms of the water services
 2
         agreement, the parties involved, and if this
 3
         might constitute a special contract pursuant to
         RSA 378:18. We also wanted to look into the
 4
 5
         trailer park itself, and if it hadn't been
 6
         examined in the previous docket, if a franchise
 7
         exists currently, and if there would be a need
         for a franchise transfer to HAWC in this
 8
         situation.
 9
10
                   We anticipate issuing two rounds of
11
         discovery, and also working with the Company to
12
         set a procedural -- proposed procedural
13
         schedule for the Commission to rule upon, which
14
         we will submit shortly thereafter.
15
                   And as far as the motion, I had
16
         spoken with HAWC's attorney, and we believe the
17
         best course would be to coincide with the
18
         resolution of this docket, to not be a date
19
         certain, but instead upon issuance of an order
20
         in this current docket, 19-011.
21
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
```

Bailey.

22

23

24

CMSR. BAILEY: Mr. Tuomala, can you refresh my memory, when we initially granted

the extension till April, did we do that by a nisi order or by -- did we have a hearing or did we just order it?

MR. TUOMALA: It was a secretarial letter.

CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. So, are we altering an order? That's my underlying question. And are there any technical things we need to do, too? You might want to think about that.

MR. TUOMALA: Yes, I'm going to have
to. I know that the prior course was HAWC
submitted a letter asking for extension of the
deadline, and it was handled through a
secretarial letter, because I believe it was
just a procedural matter. And you know, as
long as none of the circumstances have changed,
then we wouldn't have a problem with the same
course of a secretarial letter, if that is the
proper procedure.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes. In light of what Commissioner Bailey's question about whether the -- there's the possibility of amending an order, that does require notice to

the world, I think, that we're doing that. 1 2 Well, at least it requires notice to the 3 parties, and maybe we've got that. 4 But why don't you discuss it with the 5 Company, figure out what process will work. 6 We're not up against the deadline. So, we're 7 not in an emergency situation. And figure out what paperwork, if any, needs to be filed, and 8 9 then work it that way. Okay? 10 MR. TUOMALA: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 11 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What do you 12 think the timing is to get us to an end point 13 Is it two months? Four months? Six 14 What do you think we're looking at? months? 15 MR. TUOMALA: I would say it would be 16 over at least two months. That's why we're 17 concerned with the impending deadline. Four 18 months, it seems about right. As I stated, we 19 anticipate two rounds of discovery, and then 20 following a Staff recommendation. And there's nothing earth-shattering in the filing that 21 22 would require, at least in Staff's point of 23 view, more than two rounds of discovery.

 $\{DW 19-011\}$ [Prehearing conference] $\{03-12-19\}$

All right.

Ιf

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:

24

```
there's nothing else, we will adjourn the
 1
          prehearing conference and leave you to your
 2
 3
          technical session.
                          (Whereupon the prehearing
 4
                          conference was adjourned at
 5
                          10:15 a.m., and a technical
 6
 7
                          session was held thereafter.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```